



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/806,311	07/18/2001	Roberto A Macina	DEX-0184	8561	
26259 759	90 04/28/2004		EXAMINER		
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.			HELMS, LARI	HELMS, LARRY RONALD	
66 E. MAIN ST MARLTON, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/806,311	MACINA, ROBERTO A	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Larry R. Helms	1642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communications ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	17 February 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition			is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous cont	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	<i>(</i> 1)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	'	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/806,311

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-12 has been canceled.

Claim 1 has been amended.

- 2. Claim 1 is under examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S.C. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following:

The amendment filed 2/17/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The first line of the specification has been updated to add an "incorporated herein in its entirety".

Applicant is directed to the following:

United States Patent and Trademark Office OG Notices:

1268 OG 89 (18 March 2003).

Last paragraph of OG notice reads:

Part VII: Adding an Incorporation-By-Reference Statement in a Benefit Claim is Not Permitted After Filing An incorporation-by-reference statement added after the filing date of an application is not permitted because no new matter can be added to an Application/Control Number: 09/806,311

Art Unit: 1642

application after its filing date. See 35 U.S.C. 132(a). If an incorporation-by-reference statement is included in an amendment to the specification to add a benefit claim after the filing date of the application, the amendment would not be proper. When a benefit claim is submitted after the filing of an application, the reference to the prior application cannot include an incorporation-by-reference statement of the prior application. See Dart Industries v. Banner, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980). Therefore, the Office will not grant a petition to accept a benefit claim that includes an incorporation-by-reference statement of a prior application, unless the incorporation-by-reference statement was submitted on filing of the application.

Page 3

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 5. The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/806,311 Page 4

Art Unit: 1642

Response to Arguments

7. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is maintained in part.

The claim has been amended to recite an increase in measured levels for SEQ ID NO:1 and as such the claim is enabled for a method of detecting the presence of cancer of the stomach or small intestine by detection of mRNA levels in a sample of stomach or small intestine with an increase in measured levels verses normal matched control samples. The specification is still not enabled for detection of SEQ ID NO:2 in the sample or detection in just any tissue.

The response filed 2/17/02 has been carefully considured but is deemed not to be persuasive. The response states that regenerating protein I has been used as a diagnostic marker and is related to CC2 and this is more relevant than the handful of unrelated proteins or mRNA that the examiner cited (see pages 9-10 of response). In response to this argument, whether the REGI is related to CC2 is irrelevant when the art shows that mRNA levels are not correlative to protein levels as evidenced by the references cited in the previous Office Action. Therefore, the prior art previously cited demonstrate that mRNA expression does not necessarily correlate with protein expression. Thus, the predictability of protein translation and its possible utility as a diagnostic are not necessarily contingent on the levels of mRNA expression due to the multitude of homeostatic factors affecting transcription and translation. Therefore, absent evidence of the protein's expression including the correlation to a diseased

Art Unit: 1642

state, one of skill in the art would be unable to predictably use the polypeptides in any diagnostic setting without undue experimentation.

Conclusion

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by

Application/Control Number: 09/806,311

Art Unit: 1642

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached at (571) 272-0871.

11. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center telephone number is 703-872-9306.

Respectfully,

Larry R. Helms Ph.D.

571-272-0832

LARRYR. HELMS, PH.D. DRIMARY EXAMINER